

Robert Vickers

4/15

**From:** EILEEN MARTIN [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 March 2014 23:17  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Development @ Former Royal Cornhill Hospital

From Eileen Martin & Brian Gibb  
34 Chestnut Row  
Aberdeen  
AB25 3SD

Objections to Planning Application 130381 @ Former Royal Cornhill Hospital

1. Traffic

Berryden Road is regularly gridlocked as it is. Building 300 homes would involve a huge increase in traffic and cause utter chaos. Yet in the revised planning application there is no mention of any imminent improvement being made to Berryden Road. Since it struggles to cope at present the extra traffic would cause gridlock which would not only affect the residents of Berryden Road but also the surrounding streets and the Retail Park.

2. Environment

Cornhill has a huge variety of wildlife including endangered species. Yet there seems to be no mention of this in any of the planning application. I regularly hear owls. Many birds visit my garden most of which come from Cornhill. There are also foxes, hedgehogs, squirrels and I suspect bats.

Numerous trees would also be removed which as well as destroying perfectly healthy trees would also destroy wildlife habitat.

3. Amenity

We live in a fairly quiet cul de sac and feel that a footpath through the wall at the end of our street is completely unnecessary as there are plenty of other exits from the site. The police report states that paths should be well lit. I cannot see that this one will be any better lit than those highlighted in the police report. The report also mentions that these paths may give access to those who may be potential offenders. What better access for someone like that than a quiet street leading to a poorly lit path. It would also be an excellent means of escape.

PI

97E

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 28 February 2014 19:59  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
Name : susie and george murray  
Address : 72 barkmill road aberdeen

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]

Type :

Comment : lam objecting to this planning application as we feel that our privacy will be invaded with the residents on top floor flats at chestnut row being able to see into our living room.As for the removal of all the mature tree which we feel go,s against the environmental issues of this city.This will have a damaging effect on all sorts of wildlife in this area.

16/04/13

**From:** <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**To:** <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 16/04/2013 11:18  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
Name : Aberdeen Cycle Forum  
Address : 24 Loanhead Terrace  
Aberdeen  
AB25 2SY

Telephone : [REDACTED]  
Email : [REDACTED]  
type :

Comment : ACF is disappointed that the Design and Access statement makes no mention of cycling, cycle linkages or cycle connectivity, within or outwith the development site. We are concerned at this lack of vision and request that the developer works to improve this element of the proposal.

Cornhill Lane is a quiet route for cyclists and walkers. It is well used to access ARI. The development should include an attractive and direct east-west cycle route through the site, connecting Berryden at an accessible crossing point, with Cornhill Lane. This would create a genuine addition to the cycle network and hugely improve east-west cycle connectivity in that area.

The minor access road on the western edge of the development, connecting Cornhill lane to Ashgrove Rd is a generally quiet and attractive route, well used by cyclists and walkers. ACF would be very concerned if it became an access point into the development. Access for vehicles should be off Berryden only.

**From:** <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**To:** <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 23/04/2013 13:01  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
Name : Mrs Eileen Martin  
Address : 34 Chestnut Row  
Aberdeen  
AB25 3SD

Telephone : [REDACTED]  
Email : [REDACTED]

Type :  
Comment : Objections

1 Traffic

Berryden Road cannot handle the increase in traffic building 300 properties would involve. Unless the road system is improved the whole place would be gridlock causing chaos not only on Berryden Road and surrounding streets but also access to the Retail Park.

2 Environment

Cornhill has a huge variety of wildlife including endangered species. I regularly hear owls and many birds visit my garden and those of my neighbours including robins, blackbirds, goldfinches, greenfinches, great tits, coal tits, blue tits, bearded tits, sparrows, dunnocks, magpies and jackdaws most of which live over the wall in Cornhill. There are also foxes and I suspect bats.

There would also be the removal of numerous trees which as well as destroying many perfectly healthy trees would also destroy wildlife habitat.

3 Amenity

We live in a fairly quiet cul de sac and we feel that a footpath through the wall at the end of our street is completely unnecessary as there are plenty of other exits from the site



PI

SEE

**From:** webmaster@aberdencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 22 February 2014 04:48  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381

Name : Iain Nicol

Address : Flat 45, The Bastille, 75 Maberly Street, Aberdeen

Telephone : [REDACTED]

Email : [REDACTED]  
type :

Comment : I'm don't like the current trend by developers and the planning department of destroying the granite buildings and heritage and the "granite city". The planning department needs to encourage the developer to retain these buildings and sympathetically return them into useful spaces instead of demolishing them. I therefore object to the plan to demolish the building by Stewart Milne whole heartily.

PI

9FE

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 22 February 2014 10:17  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
Name : Frank Adams  
Address : 4 Lintmill Place

Telephone : [REDACTED]  
Email : [REDACTED]  
type :  
Comment : | Object .....

Aberdeen needs to retain as many granite buildings as possible.. Another Stewart Milne project where the houses are thrown up as quick and as cheap as possible is not what Aberdeen needs... Conserve the site and convert the existing structures.....

AE

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 21 February 2014 20:10  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130382

Comment for Planning Application 130382  
**Name :** Tracey carson  
**Address :** 62 denmore gardens bridge of don

**Telephone :** [REDACTED]  
**Email :** [REDACTED]  
**type :**

**Comment :** Here we go again Aberdeen city council thinking of one thing only MONEY!! Don't think about the traffic congestion mess that Aberdeen city already suffers from. Old Cornhill hospital had some beautiful buildings which sat for years being wasted just like years before Kingseat hospital which was also &quot;accidently&quot; burnt to the ground alrma bells ring Nkl! The patients had a lot of greenery to walk around in but now you propose houses and flats crambed in making them prisoners. What a waste of money this has been as Corhill was suppose to take over from Kingseat and last which it has not. People visiting or working their will not be able to get parked or visit their loved ones. I myself worked at this hospital and the former Kingseat and I am appalled it has come to this. What a waste of lovely buildings.

**From:** <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**To:** <pi@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 28/03/2013 10:48  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
**Name :** Ian Stewart  
**Address :** 45 Fairview Circle  
Danestone  
Aberdeen  
AB22 8ZQ

**Telephone :** [REDACTED]

**Email :** [REDACTED]

**type :**

**Comment :** The area surrounding the proposed building development is one which is constantly congested with traffic, so adding an additional 300 properties, without any subsequent modification to existing road is the main reason I wish to object to this planning application.

If the planning committee wish to accept this application, then I would suggest additional crossings be in place, and/or widening of existing road networks, prior to any building works commencing.



PI

ME

**From:** webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk  
**Sent:** 03 March 2014 15:13  
**To:** PI  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130382

Comment for Planning Application 130382  
Name : Mike Shepherd  
Address : 18 Forbesfield road Aberdeen AB15 4PA

Telephone :

Email :

type :

Comment : I object to this submission. The existing granite facades should be kept as part of Aberdeen's heritage.  
The construction should take this into account.

09/04/13

**From:** <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**To:** <pl@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 08/04/2013 23:28  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
**Name :** Ian Gossip  
**Address :** 13 Craigs Rd  
Ellon

**Telephone :** [REDACTED]  
**Email :** [REDACTED]

**Type :**

**Comment :** I object on the grounds of over density of the site. I object regarding the access arrangements that will be required for the house sites to the roads surrounding. I have some doubts about the traffic that will be created by these properties and the car parking arrangements that they will require. I need comfort regarding the ability of the schools in the zone to be able to cope with the increase in population.

06/04/13

**From:** <webmaster@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**To:** <pl@aberdeencity.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 08/04/2013 21:21  
**Subject:** Planning Comment for 130381

Comment for Planning Application 130381  
**Name :** Shona Gossip  
**Address :** 10 Chestnut Row  
Flat 2  
Aberdeen  
AB25 3S

**Telephone :**  
**Email :** [REDACTED]  
**Type :**  
**Comment :** I wish to object to the planning application for 300 houses on the former Cornhill hospital site.

I believe the impact this development will have on traffic in the area will be significant, particularly since the developers plan to have the main access coming from the already-busy Berryden Road.

Having both worked in the retail park, and lived just off here for the last four years, I am aware of how often queues of traffic back up all the way from Hulcheon Street to the pedestrian crossing on Berryden Road. This is particularly bad during the weekends when cars often crawl along throughout the afternoon. At busier times of the year, such as Christmas, it is often gridlock.

The roads infrastructure is not capable of coping with the additional demand. The further 300 properties will also only worsen parking, which can be challenging in the evenings and weekends.

I see from the supporting documents that the developers say that only six people attended the public consultation. I was unaware of it, and feel the developers could have had the courtesy of doing a letter drop on the small street (Chestnut Row) that backs onto the site.

I am not against the redevelopment of the site, but I do feel there are far too many homes planned. It is an over development of an already busy area.